## ase 1:05-cv-00111-SJM-SPB Document 14-2 Filed 01/03/2008 Rage 1 of 7 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	COREY HARRIS, PROSE.	CANO: 05-111-Erie
	Petitioner.	U.S. Judge McLaughlin
	VS. SCANNED	U.S. MJ Susan R. Baxter
		<u> </u>
	WARRDEN JAMES VESHECCO, AND	· Bajef in Support
	Domestic RELATIONS SECTION et al.	· of Habras Corpus
- 1	Eric County, Respondents.	Relief.
		Amend Petition
1		

Writ of Habeas Corpus Collateral Review to be Release From Incarceration:

Brief IN Support of Retitioner Claim of
Retaliation of his Civil Tost Action Suit, In the
Court of Common Reas of Defendants Domestic
Relations Section et al, of Esize County RA, Civil Case
No: 10383-2007 on Claims of Assert and Flase 12/15/04
Income Verication of Child-Support and Flase 12/15/04
Imprisoment an Constitutional Violation of
the 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 14th Amendment Rights
Of Retitioner, Corey Harris Were and Bresently
are being Violated and being held Unlawfully
at the Erie County Prison, IN Erie Pa, IN Support
Of the following: Under the 4th Amendment
Un reasonable Seizure of Recson.

Petitioner Moves this Honorable Court of An Evidentiary Hearing on the Issue of Backeteering Influenced and Claims of Organize Crime and Current Organizations Act, of Domestic Relations Section of Esie County, that Gaven Child-Supert Enforcement under, Ra. R. C. P. 23 And to Incarcerated for A Civil Matter Contempt of Court Order, In which is only A Civil Offense that Impose No Imprisonment were Nocime has been Committed on a being held unlawfully at the Erie County Person with out Ove Process of Law, or Bono. Yes being held without Bono.

2) Petitioner, Retition this Honorable Court of A
Evidentiary Hearing, an the Issue of New Matters,
Under F. R. C.P. that Support A Criminal Conspirery
From the Commonwealth Court of Common Pleas of
Esir County Domestic Relations Section, In their
Enfacement officers of Child-Support Enforcement
And the Court of Common Pleas Drs. who then
Incarcerated for a CNI Debt Without giving
the Defendant Due Roccess of Law, under the 19th
Money Amediant and or Right to Counsel under the
Criminal Contempt of Court hearings, Supports And
Amendment Violation As well Cruel Punishment by
Incarceration of the Petitioner for the offens Over Again:

The Domestic Relations Section of the Court of Common Pleas Civil Divison of Child - Support has Violated the Retitioner Corey Harris, 6th Ameril Right to Coursel by ordering Rorty to Attend A Support Confere and ordering Money and If money not Paid or If Conferce is missed by the Defendant the Rasty then is held in contempt of Cart, and A beach warrant is Issue for Your Arrest to Deing Servering Your Sentences, Per Case 3 months Sentence were No Crime was Committed, this supports A Violation of the 14th Amendment And 4th Amendment Rights of the Betitoner Core, HARRIS. Also the 5th Amendment aght Petitioner States, When appeachined by the Enforcement officer or County "Sheriff You are then brought infant of the Court of Common Pleas Judge of the Civil Divion of Signert, who then allow the Domestic Relations Section Enforcement officer to

Brosecute the Defendant. In which in this Case Brosecute the Betitioner and Request that he be held in Contempt of Court ader for arrears and to be order to Brison for Contempt of Court ader And the Court of Common Pleas Will then Grant the Enforcement officer request, and Sentence the Retitioner to Brison, for 3 months Ber Case Without Over Roccess of Law.

And Violation, of the Retitioner 4th Americant Right of Unreasonable Seizure of Reason, Were No Crime has been Committed, and without Counsel Resent through the Erie County Rublic Defenders office, who States that they only Defend Criminal Cases and not Carl matters of Support or Civil Contempt hearings, In which this process Violates the 6th Americanes Right to Counsel by the Eric County Court of Common Pleas of the Domestic Relations Section

Retitioner States that the Esse County Court of Common Pleas Criminal Contempt Court Process of Contempts Violates the American Bar Association Standards (ABA) 6-1.1. (b) and the Judge Decision is Not base on the Rastiaular facts of the Case, but only to allow the Child Suport Enforcement officer to ProSecutive the defendant With out Authorization from the Remsylvania Bor Association, for the Domestic Relations Section Enforcement officer to Practice Law With of License, from BABBA to Bractice Law, And or to Roosecutie This is Clearly unAuthorize Practice of can from the Respondents in this Retition, And Support A Double Jeopardy Claim, And Deproved of his liberty to be free from mawking Custody. At the Erie County Baison here in Erie PA,

Retitioner States for the DRS not to be License to REOSecuture Remides, a Solid link between the Defendant, and the claims of Criminal Contempt of Court order. Charged is a Casting doubt as to the Court of Common Pleas Domestic Relations Section Ceedibility of Ra, R.C.P. 23. Court Bertamonces is to be Evalvated by this U.S. District Court As Organize Crime and Curryt organizations Act And Betitioner Moves this Court to Allow him to bring this case Intront of A Federal Grand Dury AS WOSE Retitioner Berspectively at the time of the alleged Court of Commonoleas Common Contempt orders thats handed down by the Respondents with at given Ove Brocess of Law, who are waking together to incorrecated the Retitioner on matters of Civil Contempt of Guit. this Supports An Esror of Law. In light of all the Above Ciccumstances, and the standard of Review is highly within this Courts Violation of the 5th Amerdment of Dovole Jeopard, Deferential, Scelda 689.1045.ct. at 2065 also to Support a 5th Amera. Issue. And his Liberty to be free from unlawfly Custody.

In Support of Petitioner, Retaliation Claim, the Court ungrofessional Conduct from the result of the 12/21/07 Reveredings, would have been different, If the defendant had the opportunity to litigate his Civil Suit of the 4th Stand 14th Amendment Claims; This district Court Should Find that culthough the Retitioner had been deprived of a fair opportunity to litigate his forth Amendment Claim, this Support Cause for this VS. District Court to Grant the Retitioner Request for Relief from Ostody at the Exice Courty Risson In Exicolar

A Retitioner is Entitled to an Evidentiary
hearing in Federal Court It he alleges facts
which It Proven, would Entitle him to Relief
were face Retitioner, Reay that this Honorable Court
Court Retitioner, Retition for Relief and
Relase him from astody in Support of his
west of haberose Corogus, A Mere Showing
of Moncompliance with a Court order, or even
Misconduct, is plever Sufficient alone to Prove
Civil Contempt See Lachat v. Hinch cliffe, 769
A. 2d 481, Super. (2001. Contempt).

7.) Yetitioner Request to move this Court of Au Independent Investigation by the U.S. Justice Deportment by why the Retitioner did not have A Right to Coursel by the Exic County Rublic Detender office, is Supports An Fundamental Miscarriage of Dustice that failed to abide by a State Reoceana rule, to appoint Course! when a lasty 15 Rower to be Indigent. this Court OWES A Duty of loyalty that Supports A Meritorious Defense that Should be heard Intoot of A Federal Corred Jury, under Curry organization 19ct of Organized Crimes for tastely Alleding A Csiminal Contempt of Court order without being Intent of the Judge to be in Contempt, In which ther 15 only A claim of Inderict Criminal Contempt From matter outside of the Court of Common Pleas. the Respondents Action Supports the Act or an Instance of Extocting the illegal use of one's official Position or Rowers to obtain Pringerty, tund, or Partinage from the Retainer by intimidation of Money from A father thats at A Income Provety level, who Can't catored an Altoney, When the Respondents Know, that the Public Defenders office will not Represent the Retitioner, this meets the effective Canse Stondard, of the Erie County Domestic Relations Section, and the Court of Common Pleas, Eric County Roblic Defenders Office 6th American Right Violation.